



215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54911-2024
Date of decision: 10.03.2025

MANPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE **AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure), for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.64, dated 08.05.2024, under Sections 406 and 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of the case, FIR has been registered on the complaint of Simranjit Kaur where she alleged that she got married with Manpreet Singh i.e. present petitioner on 22.01.2022. At the time of marriage, she was told that her husband was permanently settled in America and their son was not addicted to any intoxicant. For about 6 months their relationship was cordial. Later on, her husband and in-laws family started taunting her for bringing less dowry and she was harassed in the matrimonial home. She came to know that her husband was deported from America and he was also addicted to intoxicant. He was maintaining illicit relations with Rajwinder Kaur. During this period, she gave birth to a daughter and even for this, she



was taunted and harassed. Her husband was filing false complaints against her and her parents at Police Station Mukerian and Dasua in order to harass her. Ultimately, she filed the present complaint.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that he was granted interim bail by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 06.11.2024 and in pursuance of this order he has already joined the investigation. He is still ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, his anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing respondent No.2.

5. Status report is filed, where it is confirmed that petitioner has already joined the investigation on 06.12.2024 and he was released on interim bail. It is further stated that he is not required for any other purpose.

6. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. In pursuance of interim bail order dated 06.11.2024, petitioner has joined investigation. Effort was made for compromise and matter remained unsettled before Mediation. Petitioner is ready to cooperate with the Investigating Agency. Therefore, at this stage, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, interim bail already granted by the Coordinate Bench on 06.11.2024 is confirmed subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

7. Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

10.03.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No