

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

2025:PHHC:078395-DB



FAO-3967-2025

Date of decision: 03.07.2025

SARDUL SINGH

..Appellant

Versus

RAJ BALA AND ANR

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Bhunesh Lakhera, Advocate
for the appellant.

* * * *

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. The appellant before this Court is father-in-law of respondent No.1 and grand-father of respondent No.2, who have been granted maintenance at the rate of Rs.3,000/- per month. The application filed by the appellant for modification of the amount has also been dismissed by the Family Court.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that respondent No.1 is working as a Nurse in a private hospital and earning sufficiently. He submits that he has no objection to pay maintenance to the grand child.

3. This Court has considered the submissions of learned counsel for the appellant.

4. Admittedly, the appellant on his retirement has received more than Rs.20,00,000/-. He is also receiving regular pension. The appellant has a legal and moral obligations to maintain his widowed daughter-in-law and grand child. The amount awarded by the Family Court is not unreasonable.



5. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.
6. Dismissed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

July 03rd, 2025
Ayub

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No