



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**ARB-48-2025 (O & M)**  
**Date of Decision: 27.01.2025**

Poonam Gupta

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

Advance India Projects Ltd.

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Vipul Joshi, Advocate,  
for the applicant.

Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate,  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. Through instant application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11(6) of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 16.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act has expired.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of Arbitrator. Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter has already been heard and award is to be pronounced.



4. Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate who on advance notice is present and has filed his *Vakalatnama* on behalf of the respondent. He does not dispute the afore-stated factual and legal position as well prayer.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of 2 months from today.

6. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

27.01.2025  
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking  
Whether reportable

Yes  
No