

2025:PHHC:136467



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

119

CRM-M-54975-2025 (O & M)  
Date of decision: 29.09.2025

CHANCHAL SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate and  
Ms. Titikhsa, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel submits that respondents No.5 and 6 have duped the petitioner of Rs.6,65,000/- on the pretext of sending him abroad, but no action having been taken, a representation dated 02.09.2025, Annexure P-7, has been submitted by him, which has not evoked any response as yet. He, at this stage, on instructions states that the petitioner would be satisfied, if a time bound direction may be given to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, to decide the same in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only, at this stage.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 02.09.2025, Annexure P-7, expeditiously, in accordance with law.

29.09.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No