



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**216**

**CRM-M-6190-2025  
Date of decision :27.03.2025**

**KULDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER**

**..... PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**..... RESPONDENT**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT**

**\*\*\***

Present :- Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

**\*\*\***

**N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to them in cross case G.D. No.37 dated 11.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 333, 118(2), 118(1), 117(2), 115(2), 191(3), 190 & 61 of B.N.S. registered in case FIR No.173, dated 08.12.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 351(2), 351(3), 191(3), 190, 126(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur
2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 10.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-



*“At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the present petition qua petitioner No.2, namely, Amrik Singh @ Amarik Singh.*

*Dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.2-Amrik Singh @ Amarik Singh only.*

*Learned counsel for petitioner No.1, namely, Kuldeep Singh submits that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present FIR and as per case of the prosecution, the petitioner had caused an injury with datar on the person of Satnam Singh and no medical opinion is available on the file with regard to the nature of the said injury. He further contends that in fact, the complainant party was the real aggressor in the present case, which is apparent from the video recording of the occurrence. In reality, the complainant party forcibly wanted to occupy the land of the petitioner and even civil suit filed by the complainant party was already dismissed by the Civil Court.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner No.1-Kuldeep Singh has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that petitioner No.1-Kuldeep Singh has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 10.02.2025 is made absolute. Petitioner No.1-Kuldeep Singh shall continue to join the investigation, as and when



**CRM-M-6190-2025**

3

called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

**( N. S. SHEKHAWAT )  
JUDGE**

**27.03.2025**

*vipin*

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes**

**Whether Reportable : No**