



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.131

CWP-14898-2025

Date of decision : 22.05.2025

Kashmir Chand and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present : Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner, Hisar Division, Hisar, to finally adjudicate upon, in a time bound manner, the revision petitions filed by the petitioners on 26.11.2024 to challenge therein orders dated 21.02.2024 passed by Collector, Sirsa and 25.11.2022 passed by SDM (Civil), Ellenabad.

2. Learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

4. The petitioners' revision petitions, filed by them to challenge therein orders dated 21.02.2024 passed by Collector, Sirsa and 25.11.2022 passed by SDM (Civil), Ellenabad are pending before respondent No.2 for the last about 06 months. The record reveals that the decision by respondent No.2 on the petitioners' revision petitions has been unnecessarily delayed. No explanation for

2025:PHHC:069790-DB



the delay in their disposal has even been offered by the learned State counsel. Even otherwise, every litigant has a right to speedy disposal of the *lis* initiated by him-her/pending against him/her and that a direction to adjudicate upon a *lis* expeditiously prejudices none. Rather, a direction issued to decide a *lis* pending between the parties at an early stage is in the interest of the parties to the *lis*.

5. In the light of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner, Hisar Division, Hisar to consider and finally adjudicate upon the petitioners’ revision petitions within 02 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

6. No costs.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

22.05.2025

Jyoti Thakur

[LAPITA BANERJI]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No