



CR No.2394 of 2025 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

141-2

**CR No.2394 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.08.2025**

**SOHAN SINGH DECEASED THROUGH LRSPetitioners
Vs
THE COLLECTOR, LAND ACQUISITION (INDUSTRIES)
....Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. The present revision petition has been filed at the instance of the petitioner(s)/landowners with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that in view of the submissions made in the petition, the revision petition may kindly be allowed and the application dated 20.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the Respondent (Collector Land Acquisition (Industries), for recalling the excess amount paid to the petitioners-decree holders may kindly be dismissed, the same being null and void, without any competency and authority of law, without any locus and against the well settled principles of law.

It is further prayed that during the pendency of the present Petition, further proceedings as a consequence of the application dated 20.08.2024 (P-4) may kindly be stayed.”

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the application filed by the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition (Industries) before the learned Executing Court for issuance of directions to refund the excess amount was made on the request of M/s SIEL Industrial Estate Ltd., however, now the dispute between the petitioner and the said Company i.e. M/s SIEL Industrial Estate Ltd.



CR No.2394 of 2025 (O&M)

stands settled and as such there was no purpose left with the learned Executing Court to adjudicate upon the application filed on behalf of the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition (Industries) and the proceedings were thus required to be dropped.

[3]. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that at this stage any determination about the issue of settlement would be premature and the same be thus left open to be adjudicated upon and decided by the learned Executing Court; accordingly the present petition be disposed of.

[4]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

[5]. In the facts and circumstances of the present case wherein the petitioner(s)/landowner(s) has placed reliance upon a letter/communication dated 26.11.2024 written by the M/s SIEL Industries Estate Ltd. to the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition, Chandigarh while submitting that the matter between the said Company and the legal heirs of deceased Sohan Singh stands settled, rather than taking upon itself to adjudicate upon the genuineness of the settlement, the present petition is disposed of and the learned Executing Court is requested to adjudicate upon the application presented by the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition (Industries) while taking into account the said letter/communication dated 26.11.2024 (Annexure P-5 at page 20) preferably on the date fixed or at best within two months thereafter.

[6]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

August 18, 2025

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No