



445

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR-1303-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.03.2025

Nasir Hussain

...Petitioner

Versus

Satwant Singh (deceased) through his legal heirs

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Raghav Chadha, Advocate and  
Ms. Kashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate and  
Mr. Vikas Thakur, Advocate and  
Mr. Ritvik Garg, Advocate for the respondent(s).

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the judgment dated 13.11.2014 vide which the Appellate Authority, Chandigarh had set aside the judgment dated 24.09.2013 passed by the Rent Controller and had allowed the eviction petition filed by the respondent-landlord under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act (as applicable to the UT, Chandigarh) for the eviction of the petitioner-tenant from House No.2344 (EWS Category), Sector 40-C, Chandigarh, on the ground of personal necessity.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time and seeing that this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter, on instructions from his client, has submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition but has sought time to vacate the premises and to shift to another premises. It has been stated that the petitioner is a tenant in the premises for the last more than 15 years.

3. With the consent of learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and



learned Senior counsel for the respondent(s), the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with the following observations/directions:-

- i) The petitioner would be permitted to occupy the premises till 30.06.2026, subject to the conditions mentioned hereinafter and would vacate the premises and hand over the keys of the premises to the respondent(s) on or before 30.06.2026.
- ii) The petitioner would pay an amount of Rs.5,500/- per month up to the period the petitioner stays in occupation, on or before 25<sup>th</sup> of every month.
- iii) The petitioner would pay the arrears of rent, if any, within a period of two months from today.
- iv) The petitioner would file an undertaking on the said three aspects before the Executing Court within a period of three weeks from today with an advance copy to counsel for the landlord in the Executing Court.

4. It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not submit the said undertaking or does not comply with any of the abovesaid conditions then it would be open to the respondent(s) to seek immediate possession of the premises in question from the petitioner by applying for police help in addition to taking recourse to other proceedings including the Contempt of Courts Act.

5. In view of what has been observed above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

**17.03.2025**

*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:- Yes/No**