



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

219

COCP-1169-2024

Date of Decision: 04.09.2025

Ved Parkash Sharma

.... Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Rastogi and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed alleging violation of the order dated 06.12.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-14754-2023 and other connected petitions titled as 'Ved Parkash Sharma vs. State of Haryana and others', whereby the respondents have been directed as under:-

“3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petitions are disposed of directing the respondents to pay due interest to the petitioners in terms of letter, dated 25.8.2023, within a period of two months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.”

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, despite the case having been called twice.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order dated 06.12.2023 (Annexure P-1) stands duly complied with and refers to the compliance report dated 09.07.2024, filed by way of affidavit of Sh. Anil Kumar Dahiya, Engineer-in-Chief, PWD (B&R) Department, Haryana; and para No. 4 thereof, reads as under:-



“4. The above said order dated 06.12.2023 passed by Hon’ble High Court has duly been complied with by the Deponent i.e. Engineer-in-Chief, Haryana PWD (B&R) Haryana after receiving sanction from the Government vide memo No. 13/26/2022-2 B&R(E) dated 28.06.2024 (Annexure R-2) for payment of interest on arrear of revision of pension amounting to Rs.3,61,744/-. A copy of the sanction order passed by the answering Deponent has been attached herewith as Annexure R-3. It is further submitted that requisite payment will be deposited in the account of the petitioner within next 5 days.”

4. Learned counsel for the respondents has also handed over in Court a copy of pay order in respect of the petitioner which is taken on record.

5. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, the same stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

04.09.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No