



**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.8419 of 2025
Date of Decision: 04.08.2025**

Manisha and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 & 3 not to allow/let respondents No.4 to 6 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of petitioners or to cause any detriment the petitioners in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty.



They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. J. S. Arora, DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib to decide the representation dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.08.2025

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No