



120                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-42375-2025**  
**Date of decision: 11.08.2025**

NAVEEN KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present:     Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate  
                  for the petitioner.

                  Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)**

1.            Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, for quashing of order dated 04.06.2025, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, vide which bail of the petitioner has been cancelled and warrants of arrest have been issued against the petitioner, in case FIR No.635 dated 12.08.2023 under Section 285, 34 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act (Section 120-B, 307, 386, 201 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2.            Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner moved an exemption application on the date fixed before the trial Court but the trial Court has declined his exemption application. He further submits that petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court and



prayed for setting aside of order dated 04.06.2025.

3. Notice of motion

4. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that due to non-appearance of the accused, trial is lingering on. Other co-accused are in custody and there is no genuine reason of non-appearance of the petitioner. Learned State counsel further submits that petitioner also moved an application on two occasions regarding his exemption, which were allowed by the trial Court.

5. As petitioner failed to satisfy this Court that on the date fixed before the trial Court, due to some urgency or any unavoidable reasons, he failed to appear before the Court. He simply mentioned that due to some reasons he failed to appear before the trial Court. The other co-accused are in custody and trial is lingering on due to non-appearance of accused. This Court finds no merit in this petition.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is hereby dismissed. Petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court and move application by taking all the pleas taken in this petition. Learned trial Court is directed to decide his application in accordance with law.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**11.08.2025**

Priyanka Thakur

**(SUBHAS MEHLA)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No