

153

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30194-2025  
DECIDED ON: 28.05.2025

NAVDEEP SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Mohd. Uzair, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in F.I.R no. 34 dated 25.11.2023, Police Station Cybercrime, Rewari, Haryana U/s 406, 420, 120B IPC (Annexure P-1).

2. Prosecution story setup in the present case as per the version in the FIR as under:-

*“That I, Riya Chaturvedi D/O Anand Chaturvedi, House No. 2170, Sector 4. I live in a rented house in Rewari. On 19/8/23, I received a WHATS APP message on my mobile number (6395962695). They asked me to do a task and through TELEGRAM LINK @Aishwarya1314, @Sthukla, @coinswitehno52, @kiranbajotra, I sent them Rs. 2,23,000/- on different accounts. On 21/8/2023, I sent Rs. 7,000/- upi id Mohd.417@tredend Rs. 9000/- Upaid-sallubhai56@ohrb, 28,000/- rupee upi id sankarammali860-1@okici,*

*50,000/- rupees were sent to uptad irtanahmedshaikh96@okhdfcbank  
On 22/8/23 I sent 69240/- rupees via NEFT THRU Raghuwansi  
Trading company a/c no 6337002100000635. IFSC PUNB0633700.  
BRANCH KRISI UPAJ MANDI Date Action Police Station On  
22.08.2023 a complaint was received through ICJS portal at the  
police station, on which investigation was done by filing complaint  
no. 1363-4CIS of the police station, which is necessary to investigate  
by registering a charge on the said complaint, and on finding out from  
the gist of the complaint that crime under sections 406,420 IPC has  
been committed, the above case will be registered and computer  
format copies of the FIR will be prepared and sent by post to the  
service of officers and area magistrate.”*

3. **Contention**

**On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Sanak Ram, who has been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari. He further submits that the recovery of Rs.28,000/- has been effected from the co-accused Sanak Ram.

Notice of motion.

**On behalf of the State/complainant**

On the asking of Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State. He prays for dismissal of the present petition stating that the petitioner alongwith his co-accused deceived the complainant by extorting the amount on the pretext of doing a task to transfer the amount on different links.

4. **Analysis**

Be that as it may, having given a considerable thought to the submissions made hereinabove especially to the fact that the FIR is pending since 25.11.2023, wherein investigation is not proceeded ahead and challan is yet to be presented and co-accused Sanak Ram from whom the recovery of 28,000/- was effected, has already been released on bail by the trial Court vide order dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) added with the fact that except disclosure statement no incriminating material has been put forth by the prosecution to connect the petitioner with the alleged commissioning of offence, this Court is of the considered view that there is no valid or cogent reason to deny the bail to the present petitioner, wherein he has *bona fide* intentions and is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

5. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

*‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under subsection (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-*

*(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*

*(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the*

*case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*

*(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*

*(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.05.2025**

*Meenu*

*Whether speaking/reasoned*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable*              *Yes/No*