



CRM-M-104-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-104-2024
Decided on: 21.07.2025

VIJAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Bandana Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. On 25.01.2024, following order was passed:-

“Status report filed by way of affidavit dated 25.01.2024 of Mr. Palwinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division City, District Hoshiarpur, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the opposite side.

Registry to do the needful.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that needful shall be done in terms of order dated 09.01.2024 within 02 weeks.

Posted for 15.02.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973”

2. Subsequently, certain facts were recorded by this Court, in its order dated 17.02.2025 also, and extracted part of the same, is reproduced for reference:-



“3. As per the allegations in the FIR, Ritesh Sehgal, gave an amount of Rs.7.00 lacs to the petitioner – Vijay Kumar, an employee of Wakf Board to get the Pattanama of Wakf Board’s land in his name (in complainant’s name). However, neither the Pattanama was arranged by the petitioner nor the amount was returned. Thereupon, FIR was registered by the complainant by submitting that out of the total paid amount of Rs.7.00 lacs, Rs.2.00 lacs have been paid back and Rs.5.00 lacs are still balance.

Subsequent thereto, another set of amount i.e. Rs.1.00 lac, has been paid to the complainant, however, still an amount of Rs.4.00 lacs, is yet to be paid.

4. Undoubtedly, as per the allegations for committing illegal act, the alleged amount was taken by the petitioner, but the Court cannot ignore that complainant has also paid bribe for getting the Pattanama by making payment in the shape of bribe to the accused (petitioner).

Considering the aspects in totality and the fact that an amount of Rs.3.00 lacs has already been paid back to the complainant, petitioner is again directed to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.

Adjourned to 08.04.2025.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 25.01.2024, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and since custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 25.01.2024, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.



CRM-M-104-2024

3

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

21.07.2025

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO