



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

235

**CRM-M-4048-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.02.2025**

SUSHIL KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Jagjit Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G. Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-2) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
246	31.07.2021	406, 506 IPC ; (420, 467, 468, 120-B IPC added later on)	Civil Line, Sirsa, District Sirsa

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 24.01.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from SI Satyaveer has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no



more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of hearing on 24.01.2025, the following order was passed:-

“ 2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner having no concern with the allegations levelled in the FIR has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that the petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the alleged transaction but has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused, Bhim Singh, who has already been granted concession of bail vide order dated 21.01.2022, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, Haryana in case CIS No. BA/148 of 2022, (Annexure P-5), alleging that the vehicle in question had been handed over to the petitioner and one Rakesh. He contends that even the complainant has given an affidavit dated 04.11.2024, copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-3 wherein, it is categorically stated that the petitioner has no concern with the present case and his name be deleted from the FIR. Hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State- respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 18.02.205.

6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS).

8. Investigating Officer (concerned) to remain present in Court along with relevant records on the date fixed.”



6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 24.01.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 24.01.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.02.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No