

CWP No. 18629 of 2022 (O&M) and
other connected cases

2025:PHHC:059162



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(Sr. No. 267+268)

(1) CWP No. 18629 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.05.2025
Rajesh Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

The Authority under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 and another
...Respondents

(2) CWP No. 15788 of 2022 (O&M)
Dinesh Kumar Giri ...Petitioner

Versus

The Authority under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 and another
...Respondents

(3) CWP No. 18632 of 2022 (O&M)
Naveen Chander ...Petitioner

Versus

The Authority under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 and another
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajat Khanna, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) submits that from the claim, it transpires that the claim of the Workmen was that they were entitled for a particular salary, which was not being given to them



though, under the wrong advice they filed petitions for the grant of minimum wages, which was not the claim, hence, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner(s) to avail appropriate remedy before appropriate forum in case admissible as of now to claim the wages, which the Workmen think that they were entitled for while working with the Institution concerned.

2. Ordered accordingly.
3. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.
4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

May 06, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No