



215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-65590-2024**

**Date of decision: 18.02.2025**

**Nirmal Singh****...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.262, dated 11.12.2024, under Section 126, 74, 75, 76, 78, 324(2), 351(2) ad 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

2. As per facts of the case, the prosecutrix gave her statement that about 03 years ago she developed illicit relations with Nirmal Singh s/o Gurucharan Singh. Later on, she realized that Nirmal Singh was not a good human being. He used to beat her. Finally, she disclosed everything to her husband-Hardeep Singh. It was decided that she will have no contact with Nirmal Singh. Even thereafter, time and again Nirmal Singh contact her, followed her in market and misbehaved with her even in the presence of his wife Monika. She was time and again ill-treated and even slapped. He threatened her that she should maintain physical relations with him.



There was no change in his behaviour. Thereafter matter was reported to the police.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that allegations levelled against present petitioner are false. The facts narrated in the FIR are highly improbable. It cannot be believed that present petitioner wrongly restrained her or misbehaved with her in the presence of his own wife. He has already joined the investigation in pursuance of interim bail granted in his favour vide order dated 31.12.2024. He is still ready to abide by the terms of bail order, therefore, his anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Status report is filed, which is taken on record. It is matter of record that co-accused Monika was arrested on 12.12.2024 and she was granted bail vide order dated 19.12.2024. Allegations against present petitioner are serious in nature. In pursuance of order dated 31.12.2024, he has joined the investigation on 04.02.2025. Considering the seriousness of the allegations, he is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record as referred above. Petitioner has already joined the investigation. No recovery is to be effected from him. He is ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, no purpose would be served by sending him behind the bars. Therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner is allowed and the interim bail already granted in his favour by the Coordinate Bench on 31.12.2024 is confirmed subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) BNSS. He is further directed to give his



undertaking before the investigating agency that he will not contact the complainant directly or indirectly in any manner.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

18.02.2025  
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No