



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

326

CRM-M-54777-2024

Date of Decision: 03.07.2025

Varun @ Vishu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present Ms. Harshita Kalra, Advocate for
Mr. Ishant Khangwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
877	31.10.2023	City Yamuna Nagar	386, 506, 120-B IPC

The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 439 CrPC seeking bail.

2. Counsel for the petitioner seeks bail on the grounds of prolonged custody as the petitioner is in custody from the last two years.

3. Custody certificate dated 02.07.2025 filed by State counsel today in the Court is taken on record. State counsel submits that as per custody certificate, in this case petitioner's custody is *Zero* for the reason that petitioner has multiple other FIRs. He further submits that if this Court grants a regular bail to the petitioner on zero custody, it would be technically granting him anticipatory bail. He further submits that the petitioner is a hard core criminal and he is in custody in other cases also, due to which his custody in this case is *Zero*.

4. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that she would be contended and satisfied if a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial in a time bound manner is given for the reason that the complainant has turned hostile.



5. Generally, this Court refrains from passing such direction because it disturbs the board of trial Court, but in view of the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, it is a fit case for this Court to direct trial Court for expediting disposal of the case.

6. Although, the petitioner's custody is technically zero but the fact remains that complainant has turned hostile and the petitioner is in custody from the last two years in other cases and pendency of trial in this FIR also hinders the proceedings of other cases. Therefore, the trial Court is requested to conclude the trial by 30.11.2025. In case, the petitioner seeks adjournment more than once, the time so granted shall stand extended automatically by one month. In case, petitioner seeks adjournment more than three times, this order shall stand recalled automatically without any further reference to this Court.

7. Present petition stands disposed of in the above terms. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.07.2025

Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>