



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

393

**CRM-M-4453-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.02.2025**

DEEPAK MANHAS ALIAS DEEPAK SINGH ALIAS DEEPU AND
OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Joginder Siwach, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the
basis of compromise/settlement dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
60	20.10.2024	115(2), 118(1), 3(5) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023	Narot Jaimal Singh, Pathankot, District Pathankot

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the
society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated
17.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was
referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to



report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot vide report dated 15.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.15,000/- in compliance to the order dated 27.01.2025.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.60 dated 20.10.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) of BNS registered at Police Station Narot Jaimal Singh, Pathankot, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.02.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No