



173

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-102-2025

Date of Decision: February 17, 2025

PANKAJ CHAUHAN AND ORSPetitioners
Versus
VINAY KUMAR, IRPSRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent on instructions from Mr. Vijay Alahwat, Superintendent (Confidential) HSSC submits that in terms of communication dated 08.02.2025 received by office of learned counsel for petitioner, the needful exercise in relation to the petitioners in terms of Writ Court Order shall be carried out within a period of four weeks from today.

In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

17.02.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>