



CRM-M-24658-2025

**104 IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT  
AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-M-24658-2025  
Decided on:29.05.2025

Jagroop Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**Present: Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)****1. Prayer**

This is the first petition under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.213 dated 20.11.2024, registered under Sections 109, 191(3), 190, 324(4), 333, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran District Tarn Taran.

2. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate along with Mr. Satnam Singh, ASI is present in Court and tendered his apology. ASI Satnam Singh submits that he will be careful be future and filed reply to the show cause notice by way of an affidavit of ASI Satnam Singh, posted at Police Station Sadar, Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran. The relevant part of the reply reads as under:

*“On the said date a statement was made on behalf of the State that petitioner has already been arrested on 4 May. 2025, hence petition filed by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail was dismissed being infructuous. On the said date a performa as being sent in all cases was sent for assistance of the learned Law Officer appearing for the State. In the said performa it was mentioned that petitioner was arrested on 4th May, 2025. The said statement was verified by learned State counsel from ASI Shinder Pal.*

*It is relevant to point out here that on the same date i.e. co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Dilsher Singh Dilshera son of Jasbir Singh was arrested. A true translated copy of the Arrest Memo is annexed as Annexure/R-1.*



CRM-M-24658-2025

2. That in fact, the petitioner was never arrested on 04.05.2025, rather his co-accused Dilsher Singh @ Dilshera was arrested and the said statement was made on the basis of performa and on the instructions from ASI Shinder Pal, which was neither intentional; nor deliberate and this occurred only due to negligence on the part of the computer operator. However, it has been signed by the deponent which is a bonafide mistake on the part of the deponent and it is neither intentional nor deliberate and the deponent has

3. That the deponent admits that he was negligent in signing the said performa. That deponent by mistake considered that it was not the petitioner who was arrested on 4th May, 2025 whereas it was co-accused of the petitioner namely Dilsher Singh @ Dilshera.

4. That the deponent takes this Hon'ble Court in highest esteem and obey the orders passed by this Hon'ble court in letter and spirit. However, in the present case due to mistake that was unintentionally and was not deliberate. The deponent tenders the unconditionally apology before this Hon'ble Court for the gross mistake committed by him and undertake to be very careful in future."

3. Considering the apology tendered by way of an affidavit as well as in person by ASI Satnam Singh before this Court, taking a lenient view, he is discharged from the show cause notice.

4. CRM stands disposed of.

29.05.2025  
sonia

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/non-speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No