



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28621-2025

Date of decision : 24.09.2025

Jyotika and anotherPetitioners
 Bank of IndiaRespondent
 Versus

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Tada, Advocate, for the petitioners.
 Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate, for the respondent.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. This petition, filed by borrowers, assails sale notice dated 22.08.2025 (Annexure P-2) as regards secured asset of the petitioners.

2. Though the petitioners have an alternative remedy to approach the jurisdictional DRT, but learned counsel for the respondent Bank, after having instructions from Branch Manager of the respondent Bank, informs that the impugned sale notice has since been withdrawn and now the Bank is going to issue notice u/s 13 (2) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Interest of Security Act, 2002.

3. In view of above, since the cause does not survive, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioners to approach jurisdictional DRT against any further action taken by the respondent Bank, in accordance with law.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

September 24, 2025

narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No