



**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-8906-2018 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 05.05.2025**

**Amrik Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**Smt. Balbir Kaur and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Ms. Naseem A. Sheikh, Advocate for  
Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for  
Ms. Shivaly Singla, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)**

1. This is an unfortunate litigation between the husband on one side and his wife and daughter on the other side.

2. Wife and daughter of the petitioner filed a suit for recovery and fixation of future maintenance and also for recovery of Rs. 5,00,000/- as marriages expenses of plaintiff No.2, namely, Tarinder Kaur-daughter of the parties.

3 In the aforesaid suit, the defendant failed to file a written statement despite four effective opportunities, resulting in striking off his defense by way of impugned order, which is the subject matter of challenge in the present revision petition.

4. At the time of hearing, learned counsel representing the petitioner



undertakes to pay Rs. 2,00,000/- in order to show his *bona fide*.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the impugned order striking off the petitioner's defense for failure to file written statement is modified while directing the trial Court to permit him to file written statement within the period of 10 days from today. This will be subject to deposit of Rs. 2,00,000/-. The petitioner will also continue to pay @ Rs. 5,000/- per month, as interim maintenance, till the effective order is passed by the trial Court.

6. The revision petition is disposed of.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

05.05.2025

neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No