



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12444-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 04.03.2025

Mann Brothers and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Goldy Jakhar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-5925-2025**

This is an application filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C for preponement of the date of hearing in the main case from 21.03.2025 to an early date.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed from 21.03.2025 to today and the same is taken on board today itself for hearing.

**CRM-M-12444-2024**

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of order dated 19.01.2023 Annexure P-6 vide which the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed person by the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh in a criminal complaint NACT/19771/2019 titled Arvinder Kaur Vs. Maan Brothers and another.

2. In response to Notice of motion Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP, UT



Chandigarh appeared on behalf of UT Chandigarh and Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate for Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate appeared on behalf complainant-respondent No.2

3. The counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners were not aware about the pendency of the aforesaid criminal complaint and when they came to know about the said criminal complaint, immediately petitioner No.2 being proprietor of petitioner No.1 approached the complainant and now the parties have entered into compromise and the entire settled amount is paid by the petitioners to the complainant.

4. Even the counsel appearing on behalf of complainant/respondent No.2 has admitted the factum of compromise which has been effected between the parties and he further stated that complainant is having no objection if the impugned order Annexure P-6 is set aside, as the complainant is ready and willing to withdraw the criminal complaint lodged by her against the present petitioners under Section 138 NI Act.

5. In light of the above, the continuity of impugned order Annexure P-6 is going to delay the final disposal of the aforesaid criminal complaint filed under Section 138 NI Act by respondent No.2 against the petitioners.

6. For the foregoing reasons, the present petition is hereby allowed in the interest of justice and impugned order dated 19.01.2023 Annexure P-6 passed by the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh is hereby set aside.

**04.03.2025**

*Yogesh*

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-**  
**Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**