



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19961-2025
Date of decision: 21.05.2025

SANDEEP SAIN

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner. (Through V.C.)

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
154	28.11.2023	279, 323, 324, 326, 483, 506, 34 and 120-B IPC	Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 07.05.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 07.05.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ ASI Hakam Singh, Investigating Officer of the case is present in Court.

2. Heard.



3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that no specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner and even otherwise, compromise has been effected between the parties vide Annexure P-4. On the basis of compromise, quashing petition (Annexure P-3) having CRM-M-4714-2024 has already been filed wherein order Annexure P-3 has been passed. The petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and is ready to join the investigation.*

4. *Learned State counsel while referring to the reply has not disputed the fact that no injury is attributed to the petitioner in the alleged occurrence.*

5. *Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed power of attorney which is taken on record. Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that he has clear instructions from his client to say that the matter has been compromised and the complainant has no objection in grant of bail of the petitioner.*

6. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

7. *List on 21.05.2025.”*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Hakam Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 07.05.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 07.05.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the



petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.05.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |