



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

218

COCP-4820-2024

Date of Decision: 25.08.2025

Naresh Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Tejveer Singh

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present: - Dr. Rau PS Girwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil Chowdhary, AAG, Punjab.

**NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present contempt petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for non-compliance of order dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP-20158-2024 titled as 'Naresh Kumar vs. State of Punjab and others'.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly admits that the appeal of the petitioner has been decided by the respondent as per averments made in para No. 4 of the compliance report dated 25.03.2025, filed by the way of affidavit of Sh. Tejveer Singh, Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Local Government.

3. Para No. 4 of the compliance report dated 25.03.2025, reads as follows:-

*"4. That, the answering respondent in compliance of the orders of the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court dated*



*02.12.2024 gave a chance of hearing to the appeal of the petitioner on dated 09.12.2024, 16.12.2024, 10.01.2025 and 29.01.2025 consecutively. Thereafter, the appeal of the petitioner was heard and decided on dated 29.01.2025 and a copy of final orders have also been sent to Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bathinda vide this office letter no. 406 dated 07.03.2025 as the appeal has been remanded back to Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bathinda for re-hearing and further necessary action, as per the submissions by both parties. An endorsement of this letter was also sent to the petitioner. (Annexure R-1).”*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**25.08.2025**

*rishu*

**( NIDHI GUPTA )  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable Yes/No**