



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

**CRM-M-56349-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:14.10.2025**

Narpinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.89 dated 12.02.2023 registered under Sections 15 and 18 of NDPS Act, 1985 (Later on added Sections 27-A, 29 and 29(1) of NDPS Act) at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for about 06 months. His name surfaced during in the disclosure statement of co-accused Kulwinder Singh who has been granted bail vide order dated 22.08.2025. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner and he is not involved in any other case. There is no evidence to connect him with the other co-accused. Challan



stands presented on 06.02.2025. Charges have been framed on 22.09.2025 however, out of 22 prosecution witnesses, only 04 have been examined.

3. The custody certificate dated 13.10.2025 filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 05 months and 28 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that petitioner is specifically named in the FIR by the other co-accused from whom commercial quantity of contraband was recovered. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to the stage of the case, co-accused having been released on bail and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for 05 months and 28 days; he is not involved in any other case; co-accused has been enlarged on bail; charges were framed on 22.09.2025; 18 prosecution witnesses are yet remained to be examined; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-



- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings



and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

14.10.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No