



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24485-2025**

**Date of Decision:16.05.2025**

Priyanka Khurana

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**Coram :** **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Shri Kant Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Birender Bikram, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to her in a case FIR No. 66, dated 04.04.2023, under Sections 409,420,467,468,471,120-B of IPC and 13 of P.C Act, registered at Police Station Uchana, District Jind, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a young unmarried girl, aged about 28 years and is taking care of her family. She is an I.T professional and is working as freelancer on various I.T. projects. He further contends that Monika, her aunt (*Bua*) was having close relations with Sunny Kapoor, Patwari and the account of the petitioner was misused by her aunt (*bua*) in good faith. Learned counsel further contends that even Monika, main accused used to operate both the bank accounts of the petitioner. Even, various amounts were transferred by Sunny Kapoor, Patwari in both the accounts of the petitioner, which was transferred by her in the account of

Rakesh Jain and Poonam Jain. He further contends that the petitioner had no knowledge with regard to the alleged fraud committed by Sunny Kapoor, Patwari and there is no evidence to connect her with the crime.

3. On the other hand learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that an amount of Rs.12,94,420/- is yet to be recovered from the present petitioner. Moreover, from her bank account, it is apparent that she was in contact with Sunny Kapoor, Patwari and various amounts were transferred in her account also.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully in the present case.

5. In the present case, it is apparent that Monika, aunt (*buā*) of the petitioner was having close relations with Sunny Kapoor, Patwari, main accused and a huge amount was transferred in her account by the accused. Only a sum of Rs.12,94,420/- was transferred in the account of the petitioner, which was transferred in other bank accounts. Moreover, the prosecution is yet to lead evidence with regard to the complicity of the petitioner in the crime and the petitioner may not be required in the present case.

6. Thus, without commenting any further, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and she shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**

**JUDGE**

16.05.2025

*hitesh*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

: Yes/No  
: Yes/No