



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-32391-2025
Date of decision: 01.09.2025

GURBHEJ SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Arshpreet Khadial, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.0104 dated 11.05.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 127(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 at Police Station Canal Colony, Bathinda, District Bathinda, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking pre arrest bail.

2. On 16.06.2025, following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.0104 dated 11.05.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 127(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 at Police Station Canal Colony, Bathinda, District Bathinda, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking pre arrest bail.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that even as per the allegations, the petitioner was only working as a caretaker in the Drug De-Addiction Centre, which is stated to be unauthorized.

Issue notice of motion, returnable for 01.09.2025.

Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on



behalf of the respondent/State.

Status report by way of affidavit of Sandeep Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-1, Bathinda has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1 today in Court, which is taken on record. Copy supplied.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 16.06.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the official respondent confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 01.07.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 16.06.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under



Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

01.09.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No