

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

ARB-15-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 18.01.2019

Anupreet Singh Kalra

...Applicant

Versus

The Chief Administrator, Punjab Urban Planning &
Development Authority (PUDA), Mohali and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondents.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This is an application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 to appoint an independent substituted arbitrator out of panel already submitted.

2. It is submitted that the earlier arbitrator appointed was since the Chief Administrator of the Department itself, thus, in view of the amendment made in the Act, 2015, he cannot function as an arbitrator.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents passed on an office order dated 23.05.2018/04.06.2018 issued by respondent No. 1, whereby Sh. B.C. Gupta, Additional District and Sessions Judge (Retired) has been appointed as an arbitrator for conducting the arbitration proceedings in accordance with the agreement between the parties.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant does not object to the same.

5. Since the substituted arbitrator has already been appointed, which is acceptable to the applicant, the application is rendered infructuous and accordingly be consigned to record room.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

18.01.2019

Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No