



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

104

COCP-3578-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.08.2025

Singhara Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Sanjeev Puri, Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Bhuvnesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. HS Bath, Advocate for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-11) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-16139-2024, titled as 'Singhara Singh vs. State of Punjab and another', the respondent had been directed as under:-

“Mr. Ajavir Singh, Advocate who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-University and submits that in case, representations dated 03.02.2016 (Annexure P/5), 24.05.2022 (Annexure P/6), 26.02.2024 (Annexure P/12), 21.06.2024 (Annexure P/13) and 26.06.2024 (Annexure P/15) have been received from the petitioner and are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the



claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed.”

2. It is submitted by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that despite the clear directions reproduced above, the representations of the petitioner have not been decided till date. Further, it is submitted that although, an order dated 01.10.2024, has been passed granting promotion to the petitioner to the post of Assistant Registrar, w.e.f. 31.01.2016, however, the consequential benefits have not been granted. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner also admits that the petitioner stands further promoted to the post of Deputy Registrar w.e.f. 01.04.2024. However, again consequential benefits have not been granted.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent controverts the aforesaid submissions of learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and draws attention of this Court to the order dated 29.10.2024 passed by a co-ordinate Bench in the present COCP, whereby learned counsel for the petitioner had admitted that benefits stand released to the petitioner and had sought time to file an affidavit with regard to the quantum thereof. Learned State counsel submits that the said affidavit has not been filed till date. In any event, speaking order dated 01.10.2024 has already been passed upon representations moved by the petitioner; and therefore, the order dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-11) stands duly complied with. Thus, it is submitted that nothing survives in the present petition and the same may be disposed of, accordingly.

4. A copy of the order dated 01.10.2024, handed over in Court by learned counsel for the respondent is taken on record. Copy thereof, has also been supplied to learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner.



5. Heard.
6. In view of the admitted facts as noted above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, and the same stands **disposed of**, accordingly.
7. The petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the aforesaid speaking order, in accordance with law, if so advised.
8. Rule stands discharged.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

11.08.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No