

2025:PHHC:120220-DB

RA-CW-387-2025 in
CWP-23071-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.09.2025

Doyel Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**Present: Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate
for the petitioner/non-applicant.Ms. Riddhi Jad, Advocate with
Mr. Krishna Maurya, Advocate for applicants No.10, 11 and 13.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA J. (Oral)

1. This review application has been filed by respondents No.10, 11 and 13 seeking review of judgment of this Court dated 11.08.2025, primarily on the ground that notices were not issued to them and the case has been adjudicated by the Court in their absence.

2. The question raised in the writ petition was as to whether a Jammu and Kashmir migrant who is not a resident of the State of Punjab, would be entitled to be considered under the State Quota notwithstanding such candidate not being a domicile of the State. This Court interpreted the policy after affording opportunity to the State of Punjab and has come to the conclusion that for grant of benefit of State Quota, a candidate will have to be a resident of the State of Punjab.

3. Learned counsel for the review applicants has not been able to show any error in the judgment based on the notification issued by the State of Punjab on 09.08.2024, on the basis of which, admission itself was to be undertaken.

4. Learned counsel for the review applicants further contends that the policy itself contains an error and, therefore, the judgment of this Court is liable to be reviewed.

5. We have confronted the counsel for the review applicants with the factual situation that the policy itself, on the strength of which admission process has been undertaken, is not the subject matter of challenge before us.

6. Faced with the above situation, learned counsel for the review applicants comes up with a prayer not to press the review application with liberty to the review applicants to challenge the policy contained in the notification dated 09.08.2024, by way of appropriate proceedings.

7. In that view of the matter and keeping in view the observations made above, this review application is dismissed and consigned to records. Liberty stands reserved to the review applicants in terms of the prayer made.

8. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No