



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

360

CRM-M-18396-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 08.05.2025

UMESH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
329	15.09.2024	103 (1), 109 (1), 115, 190, 191 (3), 351 (2) BNS (61, 117 (1) BNS added later on)	Faridabad Central, District Faridabad

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 08.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from SI



Satyawaan has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the petition by arguing that the petitioner has participated in the occurrence and the offence being heinous, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. Thus, prays for dismissal of the petition.

5. Heard.

6. During the course of hearing on 08.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“ 4. After hearing the submissions and perusing the record, it is not disputed by learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Satyawan, Investigating Officer of the case, that no specific overt act for causing an injury has been attributed to the petitioner. As stated by the Investigating Officer of the case, the petitioner was visible in the CCTV Footage but no specific overt act has been attributed but is alleged to have conducted recce of the deceased. The petitioner is having no criminal antecedents.

5. Be it the case, it is deemed appropriate that the petitioner should join the investigation before deciding the petition on merits. Therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.

7. List on 06.05.2025.”

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 08.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail



granted vide order dated 08.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

08.05.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No