

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2595 of 2012

Date of Decision: October 27, 2014

Madan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

B. Purshurtha & another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.(ORAL)**

Counsel for the respondents states that all consequential benefits including interest have been paid to the petitioner which fact is disputed by the counsel for the petitioner and prays that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to avail of the alternative remedy of claiming interest on the delayed payments.

In view of the above statements made by the counsel for the parties, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, it is left open to the petitioner to avail of his alternative remedy, in accordance with law for claiming interest on delayed payments.

Rule discharged.

**October 27, 2014**

*Harish*

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )  
JUDGE**