



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

145

**CRM-M-39918-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.07.2025**

SUNIL KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF UT AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Bharat Bhandari, Advocate and
Mr. Vinay Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Rathee, Additional Public Prosecutor.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition under Section 528 of BNSS has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of case FIR No.46 dated 24.04.2025, registered under Section 154 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, P.S. Maloya, Chandigarh, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC.

2. Admittedly, neither the petitioner has been named in the FIR, nor served with any notice by the Investigating Agency till date. Still petitioner has filed the instant petition seeking quashing of the aforesaid FIR, by assuming that Investigating Agency is adamant to involve him in the said criminal case through the disclosure statement of some other accused. Such a petition, filed at a premature stage, with a prayer for quashing the FIR or causing any kind of interference in the investigation process, is not maintainable.



3. Hence, present petition is dismissed being not maintainable, at this stage.

4. However, it would be open for the petitioner to avail remedy under the law at an appropriate stage.

28.07.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No