



**151 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-1454-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 19.05.2025**

**Baij Nath**

**...Appellant**

**Vs.**

**Raghuvir Singh Basra**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Nitish Garg, Advocate  
for the appellant.

\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.** (Oral)

1. The defendant assails the correctness of concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below while decreeing the plaintiff's suit for mandatory injunction.

2. The plaintiff filed a suit claiming that the defendant was permitted to reside in the premises without the payment of license fee and the license was terminated by sending notice on 06.04.2019. The defendant claims that Sh. Bakhsish Singh is the owner of the property and he was inducted as tenant @ Rs.6,000/- per month. Sh. Bakhsish Singh was uncle of the plaintiff. The plaintiff also produced an agreement Ex. P.12, wherein, the defendant undertook to vacate the premises within one week from 14.03.2019. Moreover, it was found that the defendant in order to create evidence filed a suit in the year 2019 against Sh. Bakhsish Singh, who died in the year 1981. Moreover, the appellant failed to produce any material to prove that he was ever inducted



as a tenant or he paid any rent to either Sh. Bakhsish Singh or his heirs.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

4. Hence, the appeal is dismissed.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

19.05.2025  
neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No