



LPA-1154-2025 (O&M)

Page 1 of 2

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1154-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.05.2025

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

. . . . Appellant

Vs.

AJAY KUMAR AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the appellant.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. This is an appeal preferred after a delay of 884 days.
2. It would be apposite to notice the contents of the application filed for condonation of delay in filing of appeal, which are as under:

“3. That the copy of order dated 15.09.2022 was downloaded from the website of the Hon'ble High Court on 03.11.2022 and the case was marked to the Ld. Law Officer for tendering legal opinion as to whether the case is fit for filing LPA or not on 05.12.2022. The Ld. Law officer opined that the case is fit for filing LPA on 09.12.2022 to which the Ld. Advocate General Haryana agreed on 16.12.2022.

4. That the office of Advocate General Haryana intimated the appellant that the case is fit for filing LPA vide memo No.72205-08 dated 17.12.2022. Thereafter the case file was again marked to the Ld. Law officer for preparing grounds of appeal and accordingly, the LPA is filed.



LPA-1154-2025 (O&M)

Page 2 of 2

5. *That in this process the delay of 884 days occurred in filing the accompanying appeal along with application for condonation of delay which is neither intentional nor deliberately but due to following the procedure prescribed for getting sanction for filing the LPA from one office to other office which is bonafide which is time consuming.”*

3. Thus, we notice that no reasons are coming forward for not filing appeal in spite of opinion given by the Advocate General, Haryana way back on 17.12.2022. The appeal has been preferred on 22.05.2025. The concerned officer who is responsible for not filing the appeal in spite of opinion given by the Advocate General, Haryana, needs to be dealt with departmentally.
4. Be that as it may, since the reasons for not filing the appeal in time are not available, present appeal stands dismissed on account of delay and laches. Application No.CM-2836-LPA-2025 stands dismissed.
5. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

22.05.2025

Mohit goyal

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No