



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-PIL-164-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:- 11.07.2025

JAGE SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate,  
Mr. Arjun Sawhni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional A.G. Haryana.

\* \* \* \*

**SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)**

1. Since the petitioner presses for interim relief, which cannot be granted since we have not entered into the merits of the matter and in view of the decision of the Apex Court in the case of *Ramrameshwari Devi and others Vs. Nirmala Devi and others, 2011(8) SCC 249*, wherein it has been held that in normal course, a writ petition decided on the very first day, where the other side has not been heard, ordinarily the interim order should not be passed.

2. Learned counsel submits that he has also made complaints against the illegality committed by respondent No.6, who happens to be a sitting Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat of Village Jhadoda, Tehsil Nahar, Kosli, District Rewari.



3. In this regard, needless to emphasis that the petitioner is free to make an application/complaint before the competent authority under Section 51 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, which the petitioner has already made to the Director Panchayat, Chandigarh and Deputy Commissioner, Rewari (Annexures P-6 and P-7), which may be pursued by the petitioner and the said authorities are directed to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

4. Disposed of.

**(SHEEL NAGU)**  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

**11.07.2025**

*S.Sharma*

<i>i)</i>	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>ii)</i>	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>