



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(105)

CRM-M-31561-2025

Date of Decision:-**04.06.2025**

JISHAN KHAN

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

-.-

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samdisha Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

-.-

**ALOK JAIN, J.** (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.06 dated 25.03.2025 registered under Section 85 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita ('BNS' for short) at Police Station Women Malerkotla, District Malerkotla.
2. Mr. Abdul Aziz, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of complainant and has filed memorandum of appearance today in the Court, which is taken on record.
3. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant at the outset submit that present petition has been filed by concealing material facts as in para No.12 of the petition, it has been clearly recorded that till date, the '*petitioner is not involved in any kind of other criminal case nor the petitioner is facing any trial in any Court of law nor convicted by any Court of law and neither the petitioner declared proclaimed offender by any Court of law in any case.*' However, contrary to



same, learned State counsel has submitted that there are four other FIRs against the petitioner, the details of which are as under:-

<b>FIR</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sections</b>	<b>Police Station</b>
89	07.08.2017	341, 323, 379-B IPC	Passiana
191	19.08.2017	382, 411, 341 IPC	Sadar Patiala
88	07.04.2018	379 IPC	Tripura District Patiala
94	08.07.2019	161 Excise Act	Urban State

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant has also submitted that after the lodging of the present FIR on 25.03.2025, the petitioner on the very next day, uploaded obscene photographs of complainant on social media against which the complainant has already filed complaint before the concerned authorities. Learned State counsel has verified the said facts and submitted that the complaint is under investigation and appropriate steps shall be taken on it.

4. Faced with above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the present petition, at this stage to enable him to verify said facts and still maintains that matter can be resolved between the parties as the petitioner is ready to settle all the disputes pending between the parties.

5. In the light of above, instant petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

**04.06.2025**  
Gaurav Sorot

**( ALOK JAIN )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes / No  
Yes / No