



CRM-M-31563-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-31563-2025
Decided on: 28.07.2025

LAKHVIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kulwinder Bhargav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.P. Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. On 10.06.2025, following order was passed:-

“On 04.06.2025, the following order was passed:-

"Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.31 dated 25.04.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS (Section 117(2) of BNS added later on(erstwhile Sections 323, 324, 452, 379, 34 IPC and Section 325 IPC) registered at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that this is the case of version and crossversion. The petitioner is not involved in the alleged crime and has been falsely implicated in the present case as he has objected regarding the position of the CCTV camera which was causing complete surveillance of the house of the petitioner. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation. Notice of motion. Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks



time to file status report. Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant and filed his Power of Attorney. List on 10.06.2025."

Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Karnail S. PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police Sub-Division Bholath Kapurthala, on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab, which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that as per MLR of Avtar Singh there were four injuries suffered by him. Injuries no. 1, 2 and 3 were declared as simple in nature, whereas, offence under Section 117 (2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) is with regard to grievous injury. He further submits that offence under Section 117(2) of BNS is bailable.

Adjourned to 28.07.2025.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on the interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 10.06.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed



CRM-M-31563-2025

3

and ad-interim order dated 10.06.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

28.07.2025

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO