



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

**CRM-M-50811-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:15.10.2025**

Rajinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner.


Mr. Rakesh Jangra, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.401 dated 15.08.2025 registered under Sections 115, 118(1), 118(2), 3(5), 324(4), 324(5), 351(2), 351(3) of BNS (Sections 323, 324, 326, 34, 427 and 506 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Krishana Gate, Thanesar, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for the last approximately 02 months. It is a case of version and cross version wherein son of the petitioner had also received injuries. The injury is attracting 308 of IPC wherein the complainant party is on anticipatory bail vide order dated 01.10.2025. The allegation against the petitioner is of having hit the complainant on her nose with a brick. However, in the CCTV footage, he is shown to be holding a stick



(danda). The complainant was the aggressor party. No other case is pending against the petitioner.

3. Custody certificate dated 14.10.2025 filed on behalf of respondent-State, is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 01 month and 29 days.

4. The learned counsel for the State as well as complainant oppose the bail application on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having hit the complainant on her nose for which there is CCTV footage as well. However, they are unable to controvert the submissions with regard to the stage of the trial and the petitioner not being involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 29 days; he is not involved in any other case; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7 The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.



(iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.

(v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.

(vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.

(vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.

(viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.

(ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

15.10.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No