



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-34453-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 14.10.2025

Dilbagh Singh alias Baga

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.79 dated 14.04.2025, registered under Sections 21-C, 29, 61 and 85 of NDPS Act, at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar (Rural).

2. On 02.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner though was named in the secret information wherein it was stated that two persons who were doing the business of selling drugs, are going from Village Rattan Kalan to Village Atalgarh, however, co-accused Tarsem Singh was arrested at the spot possessing 3 kgs. heroin and it is not the case set up that petitioner was present there and had run away. No recovery thus has been effected from him. He is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 10.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the

Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 14.10.2025. ”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel has filed short reply, which is taken on record. Copy whereof has been furnished to learned counsel opposite. She on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 02.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

**14.10.2025**

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No