



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

263

**CRM-M No.21253 of 2024  
Date of decision: 30.07.2025**

**RAGHBIR SINGH AND OTHERS****.... Petitioners**

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present : Mr. Utkrant Mahajan, Advocate for  
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Nagra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**KIRTI SINGH, J. (oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, for quashing of FIR No. 5 dated 11.05.2019, under Sections 498-A, 406, 494 of IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, District Gurdaspur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of Settlement/Agreement dated 14.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and supplementary compromise dated 22.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

2. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 30.04.2024, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 18.07.2024 has been received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur. A perusal of the said

**CRM-M No.21253 of 2024**

-2-

report revealed that statements of petitioners No.1 and 2 as well as respondent No.2/complainant had been recorded but statement of petitioner No.3 could not be recorded.

3. Thereafter, vide orders dated 22.07.2027, 28.11.2024 and 07.05.2025, petitioner No.3 was again directed to record his statement and to deposit Rs.25,000/- with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Lawyer's Welfare Association Fund, Chandigarh in terms of order dated 28.11.2021.

4. In compliance of aforesaid orders, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur has sent report dated 21.07.2025, as per which, petitioner No.3 did not appear before her to record his statement.

5. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner No.3 has been granted sufficient opportunities to record his statement qua genuineness of compromise, he did not come forward to do so. This Court is left with no option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**30.07.2025**

Jyoti-IV

**(KIRTI SINGH)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.  
Whether reportable : Yes/No