



CRM-M-57435-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(256)

CRM-M-57435-2024.

Date of Decision:-24.07.2025.

Chirag

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Pinki Mehla, Advocate for respondent No.2
(through VC).

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition is for quashing of the **FIR No.21** dated **19.03.2022** (Annexure P-1), under Sections **406, 498-A, 506** of **IPC**, registered at **Women Police Station Kaithal, District Kaithal, Haryana**, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, this Court vide order dated **16.12.2024** directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated **30.01.2025** has been received from the **Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kaithal**, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

3. Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing on behalf



CRM-M-57435-2024

of respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

4. Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another* 2012(10) SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another* 2014(6) SCC 406 that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

5. Consequently, this petition is allowed **FIR No.21** dated **19.03.2022** (Annexure P-1), under Sections **406, 498-A, 506** of IPC, registered at **Women Police Station Kaithal, District Kaithal, Haryana**, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner, subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner and Rs.5,000/- to be deposited by respondent No.2, within one month from today in **Nishkam Sewa Group (run by Punjab & Haryana High Court Lawyers at Chandigarh).**

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

July 24, 2025.

S. Sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No