



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

300

CRM-M-17555-2025

Date of decision: May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Susheel Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**Present: Mr. Sanyam Khetarpal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Kajal Chauhan, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 to 5.**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.27 dated 04.02.2025 under Sections 115(2), 127(2), 140(3), 190, 191(2), 308(2), 351(2) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Saran, District Faridabad, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 01.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 30.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned JMIC, Faridabad, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified

and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned JMIC, Faridabad, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025  
*Puneet*

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No