



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13767-2000 (O & M)**

**Date of Decision: 08.04.2025**

Ravinder Kumar .....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mehar Singh and others ....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Shubham, Advocate,  
for Mr. S.P. Laler, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sheetal Vaishnav, Advocate,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kulbir Dalal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.4.

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 18.08.2000 and restoration of order dated 09.11.1999 passed by Additional Registrar.

2. The petitioner was appointed as Clerk on *ad hoc* basis on 15.12.1997. He was regularized by way of resolution passed by Society. He was regularized w.e.f. 16.03.1998. One person namely Mehar Singh challenged petitioner's



regularization before Additional Registrar, who dismissed his petition vide order dated 09.11.1999. Mehar Singh filed further appeal which was allowed vide order dated 18.08.2000. The Appellate Authority declared petitioner's appointment bad in the eye of law.

3. Mr. Shubham submits that there was no infirmity in the resolution of the Society as well as order passed by Additional Registrar still Appellate Authority allowed appeal of Mehar Singh who was not even Member of Managing Committee. The respondent-Society made another appointment which was subject to outcome of this petition.

4. The petitioner was appointed in 1997 and regularized by resolution in 1998. The impugned order was passed in August' 2000. In this way, he worked for less than 3 years. A period of 25 years from the date of declaring his appointment bad has already passed away. There are disputed questions of fact. No question of law is involved. Thus, this Court at this stage does not find it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider petitioner for appointment or cancel appointment of any other person.

5. Dismissed.

6. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

08.04.2025

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

shivani

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No