



CR-4475-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(114)

CR-4475-2025

Date of Decision: - 18.07.2025

**Rajbir**

...Petitioner

**Versus****Karampal and others**

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Sansaniwal, Advocate  
for Mr. Gagandeep Sanwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sonipat whereby the evidence of the plaintiff/petitioner has been closed by Court order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner had filed a suit for possession with consequential relief of permanent injunction and till date there is no injunction in favour of the petitioner and thus, the delay in the proceedings would primarily prejudice the petitioner. It is further submitted that on account of there being a communication gap between

**CR-4475-2025****-2-**

the petitioner and his counsel, the petitioner could not lead his entire evidence and in case the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 is not set aside and the petitioner is not granted two effective opportunities to lead his evidence, then, irreparable loss would be caused to him. It is stated that in order to compensate the respondents No.1 to 3 for the inconvenience caused to them, the petitioner is ready to pay reasonable costs.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, more so the fact that the present suit is a suit for possession with consequential relief of permanent injunction and it has been stated before this Court that there is no injunction in favour of the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner should be granted two effective opportunities to complete his entire evidence, at his own responsibility and accordingly, the present revision petition is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) is set aside to the extent that the evidence of the petitioner/plaintiff has been closed by order and the petitioner is granted two effective opportunities, at his own responsibility, to conclude his entire evidence. The petitioner would produce all the witnesses which he proposes to examine on 23.07.2025, which is the next date of hearing and would conclude his evidence in two effective opportunities and the same would be subject to the petitioner depositing an amount of Rs.30,000/- as costs, on or before 23.07.2025 and on his depositing the said amount, the same would be released by the trial Court to respondents No.1 to 3 in equal proportions i.e. Rs.10,000/- each. Due opportunities would be given to respondents No.1 to 3 to cross-

**CR-4475-2025****-3-**

examine the witnesses produced by the petitioner.

4. It is made clear that in case, the petitioner does not deposit the costs of Rs.30,000/- on or before 23.07.2025, the present revision petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

5. It would be relevant to mention that notice of motion has not been issued to respondents No.1 to 3 as issuance of any notice would further delay the proceedings in the suit and would also entail expenses for respondents No.1 to 3 in order to defend the present petition. However, it would be open to respondents No.1 to 3 to move an application for recalling the present order in case, any statement made before this Court is found to be false/incorrect.

**July 18, 2025***naresh.k***( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No