



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 114)

CWP No.720 of 2025

Date of decision: 02.05.2025

Satnam Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present : Mr. Rohiteshwar Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vijay Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.1-UOI.
Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate for respondent No.2.
Mr. Shekhar Verma, Addl. A. G., Punjab for respondent No.3.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Project Director, National Highways Authority of India, Jalandhar to take a decision on the communication dated 02.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) made by respondent No.3 – Sub Divisional Magistrate, Mukerian.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection if the above prayer is accepted.

(3) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 -



CWP No.720 of 2025

[2]

Project Director, National Highways Authority of India, Jalandhar to take a decision on the aforesaid communication made by respondent No.3 – Sub Divisional Magistrate, Mukerian, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to all concerned, preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(4) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

02.05.2025
sunil yadav

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No