



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-51562-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.10.2025

Gursewak Singh @ Sewak Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.176 dated 26.07.2025, registered under Sections 25 of Arms Act (offence under Section 54, 59 of Arms Act added later on), at Police Station Lopoke District Amritsar-Rural, Punjab.

2. On 15.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. His name surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused Arshdeep, from whom 1 pistol, 10 live cartridges and 2 magazines were recovered, however, he is not connected with him and has clean antecedents. Neither was he present on the spot nor any recovery has been effected from him.

He is not involved in any other case and is ready and



willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 29.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 08.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the



merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 15.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section **438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)**

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

15.10.2025

Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No