



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

207

CRA-S-577-2025

Date of decision : 01.08.2025

Nishan Singh

....Appellant

V/S

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: Mr. G.S. Madaan, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Anup Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Jagjeet Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. On the last date of hearing i.e. 21.04.2025, the following order was passed :-

*“The appellant has filed the instant appeal against the order dated 04.02.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, whereby an application filed by the appellant under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail in complaint under Sections 323/324/452/342/506/365/354/511/148/149 of IPC, 1860 and Section 3 of SC/ST Act, 1989 relating to Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran, has been dismissed.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant, inter alia, submits that on similar sets of allegations FIR and cross-FIR have been registered against both the parties and the police have investigated the matter and cancellation report in the FIR and cross-FIR was submitted which was not accepted by*



CRA-S-577-2025

-2-

*the Trial Court and the matter was directed to be re-investigated. After re-investigating the matter, 2<sup>nd</sup> cancellation report was submitted by the police which was again not accepted by the Trial Court and the matter was ordered to be further investigated.*

*Learned State counsel, while referring to the averments made in paras 6 & 7 of the status report and on instructions from ASI Gurmukh Singh, submits that again cancellation report has been prepared by the police for being presented before the Trial Court.*

*Adjourned to 01.08.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, the appellant shall appear before the Trial Court within a period of two weeks and on his appearance, he shall be released on interim anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court concerned.”*

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 21.04.2025 passed by this Court, the appellant has appeared before the Trial Court and furnished the bail/surety bonds.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Hardeep Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact.
4. In view of the above, the present appeal is allowed and the interim relief granted to the appellant, vide order dated 21.04.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

01.08.2025

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No