



225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44754-2025

Date of Decision:22.08.2025

Dr. Kuldeep Singh Marok

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. K.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate with
Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.16 dated 02.08.2024 registered under Sections 409, 420, 465, 467, 471, 201, 120-B of IPC & 13(1) A, 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended by Amendment Act 1988 (Annexure P-1), at Police Station Vigilance Bureau Range Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner himself is a victim of fraud at the hands of Dr. Arvind Vir Singh Gill and others, who had received a huge amount from the petitioner on the pretext of granting affiliation to the nursing college, which was to be opened by the petitioner. Even, the petitioner had met Dr. Arvind Vir Singh Gill in connection with the affiliation of his college and on demand by him, a sum of Rs.4 lacs was transferred in his account on 12.10.2010, whereas, an amount of Rs.7 lacs was

handed over to him in cash, when he came with the inspection team. Apart from that an amount of Rs.2 lacs was also deposited in the account of brother of Dr. Arvind Vir Singh Gill and an amount of Rs.2.25 lakhs was given to him in cash. Learned counsel further contends that on the assurance of Dr. Arvind Vir Singh Gill, the petitioner had admitted the students in the nursing college, however, the affiliation was not granted and as such, the petitioner could not make further admissions. Even, the petitioner suffered huge financial loss in the entire process. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 03.08.2024 and is in custody for the last over one year. Even Challan was presented against him on 24.10.2024, but charge has not been framed against him so far. Apart from that, the other co accused, namely, Charanjeet Kaur Cheema @ Charanjeet Kaur Sandhu, Aruna Chhabra and Dr. Arvind Gill have been granted the concession of bail by this Court as well as the trial Court, vide orders (Annexures P-5 to P-7) and the petitioner also deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been leveled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2024 i.e. for a period of more than one year. Even the case is based on documentary evidence, which is already part of challan and the challan has been presented before the Court. Even otherwise, the co-accused, namely, Charanjeet

Kaur Cheema @ Charanjeet Kaur Sandhu, Aruna Chhabra and Dr. Arvind Gill have been granted the concession of bail by this Court as well as the trial Court, vide orders (Annexures P-5 to P-7).

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

7. Pending application, if any, stands also disposed of.

22.08.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No